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ABSTRACT:

Ultrasonic irradiation (US) is a feasible and promising mechanical disruption technique for sludge disintegration, biodegradability acceleration, and anaerobic digestion enhancement. It is clear that many processing factors significantly affect cavitation and consequently the efficiency of sludge pretreatment. Therefore, assessment, comparison, and selection of optimal ultrasonic conditions for actual application of sludge pretreatment are sorely necessary. The objective of this work is to present an extensive review of evaluation approaches of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment efficiency based on physical, chemical, and biological properties of sludge.
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1. INTRODUCTION

Incineration, ocean discharge, land application and composting are the common sludge treatments used over the years but no longer reliable due to the economic difficulties and their negative impacts on environment. Therefore, anaerobic digestion (AD) of sludge has applied as an efficient and sustainable technology for sludge treatment thanks to mass reduction, odor removal, pathogen decrease, less energy use, and energy recovery in form of methane.

The AD of sludge is a complex and slow process requiring high retention time to convert degradable organic compounds to CH₄ and CO₂ in the absence of oxygen through four stages: hydrolysis, acidogenesis, acetogenesis, and methanogenesis. Hydrolysis is known as a rate-limiting step, in which the intracellular biopolymers solubilize and convert to the lower molecular weight compounds of sludge. This low rate of microbial conversion requires the pretreatment of sludge, which ruptures the cell wall and facilitates the release of intracellular matter into the aqueous phase to accelerate biodegradability and to enhance the AD.

There are some very popular techniques used in sludge pretreatment such as biological, thermal hydrolysis, mechanical, and chemical methods. Biological technique provides a moderate performance increase over mesophilic digestion with moderate energy input. Thermal hydrolysis (>100°C) provides a significant increase in
performance with a substantial thermal energy consumption. Mechanical treatment methods (ultrasonic pretreatment, lysis-centrifuge, liquid shear, grinding…) provide a moderate performance improvement with moderate electrical input. Chemical treatment methods (oxidation, alkali, acidic pretreatment…) are also applied in sludge pre-treatment [1].

As cited by Pilli et al. [2], ultrasonic irradiation (US) is a feasible and promising mechanical disruption technique for sludge disintegration and microorganisms’ lyses according to the treatment time and power, equating to specific energy input. Several positive characteristics of this method are efficient sludge disintegration [2], improvement in biodegradability and bio-solids quality [3], increase in biogas/methane production [3, 4, 5], no chemical additives [6], less sludge retention time [7], and sludge reduction [5].

The mechanisms of ultrasonic sludge disintegration are (a) Hydro-mechanical shear forces created by cavitation, (b) Oxidizing effect of \( \cdot \)OH, \( \cdot \)H, \( \cdot \)N, and \( \cdot \)O produced under the ultrasound radiation, (c) Thermal decomposition of volatile hydrophobic substances in the sludge, and (d) Increase in temperature during ultrasonic activated sludge disintegration. It was proved that sludge disintegration is mainly caused by hydro-mechanical shear forces and by the oxidizing effect of \( \cdot \)OH, but mostly in the former process [2].

The ambient conditions of the reaction system can significantly affect the intensity of cavitation; consequently affect the efficiency (rate and/or yield) of reaction. Different conditions resulted in different effectiveness of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment. Main parameters effecting cavitation include ultrasonic frequency, power input, and intensity, temperature, hydrostatic pressure, stirrer type and speed, and sludge characteristics (sludge type, pH, total solid content TS…).

The objective of this work is to present an extensive review of evaluation approaches of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment efficiency based on physical, chemical properties, and biological properties, serving assessment, comparison, and selection of optimal conditions for actual application.

2. PHYSICAL CHANGE-BASED EVALUATION OF SLUDGE ULTRASONIC PRETREATMENT EFFICIENCY

Physical properties used for evaluation of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment efficiency usually include: particle size reduction, sludge mass reduction, dewaterability, settle-ability, turbidity of sludge, and microscopic examination.

2.1. Particle size reduction

Ultrasonic pretreatment is very effective in reducing the particle size of sludge, which is analyzed by different techniques (sieves, sedimentation, electric-ozone sensing, microscopy, laser diffraction, in which the last is usually used). The efficiency of size reduction depends on (i) US density, (ii) US duration, (iii) US power input \( (P_{US}) \), (iv) specific energy input \( (ES) \), and (v) sludge characteristics.

(i) The mean particle size reduction increases with the increase in US density [2], 60% and 73% at 2 W/mL and 4 W/mL, respectively [6], which means sludge disintegration efficiency also increases at higher US densities. At 10 kWh/kgTS, particle size was reduced from 49 µm to 19 µm, 13 µm, and 9 µm corresponding to the US density of 0.18 W/mL, 0.33 W/mL, and 0.52 W/mL, respectively [8].

(ii) The particle size reduces gradually owing to the increase in US duration [7, 8], but beyond a sonication period of 10 min, the particle size has a reverse trend [9] due to re-flocculation of the particles. However, this phenomenon was not recorded in a series of researches by Show et al.
and Le et al. [10] even after 20 min and 117 min of sonication, respectively. The authors indicated that sludge particles were disrupted very fast, especially in the initial period of ultrasonic process, and much faster than COD release in the aqueous phase.

(iii) Low US power input has no effect on floc size reduction and the floc size reduction increases with the increase in $P_{US}$. However, there has an optimum $P_{US}$ and US duration for sludge disintegration because floc will be completely destroyed after 60 min of sonication despite a further increase in $P_{US}$ or US duration [11].

(iv) A specific energy input of 1000 kJ/kg$_{TS}$ may be the disruption threshold of floc [12]. Following the increase in ES, US has caused a decrease in particle size gradually [9], [13]. Particle size was inversely proportional to energy dosage ($R=−0.996$ at the 0.01 significance level) [12].

Particle size distribution of waste activated sludge (WAS) in the range of 0.4 µm to 1000 µm was investigated under 20 kHz US frequency at different ES. The findings of Bougrier et al. (2005) cited by Pilli [2] showed that the volume occupied by particle sizes of less than 1 µm has increased with an increase in ES: from 0.1% of the raw sample to 1.5% of the pretreated sludge at ES of 14550 kJ/kg$_{TS}$. The similar trend was recognized with particles larger than 100 µm due to re-flocculation [9]. According to El-Hadj et al. (2007) cited by Pilli [2], with an increase in ES, the volume occupied by the smaller particle size ($≤$28 µm) was more than 90%.

Particle size distribution was also carried out with sludge particles smaller than 100 µm in diameter [12]. The $dp_{90}$ & $D_{4,3}$ of sludge decreased from 77.05 & 40.49 µm (raw sludge) to 75.77 & 39.79 µm, and 55.06 & 27.54 µm corresponding to an increase in ES from 0 to 500 and 26000 kJ/kg$_{TS}$, respectively. Compared to the raw sludge, at ES below 1000 kJ/kg$_{TS}$, the decrease in $dp_{90}$ was very slight (3.2%); however, at 26000 kJ/kg$_{TS}$, the $dp_{90}$, $dp_{75}$, $dp_{50}$, and $dp_{25}$ decreased by 28.5%, 31.8%, 34.2%, and 37.6%, respectively, which indicated that different particle size distributions have (slightly) different reduction extents, in which small particles were disrupted more effectively by US than larger ones [12].

Another research showed that “micro”-flocs (<4.4 µm, having strong binding forces) have less susceptibility to sonication than “macro”-flocs (>4.4 µm, having larger surface area exposing to sonication). In other words, the latter showed more disruption possibility than the former [8], which was in agreement with [2, 12, 14]. The terms “micro” and “macro” used in this case are different from the ones used in The Floc Structure Model of Jorand et al. [15]: the predominant macro-flocs (125 µm) were formed from 13 µm micro-floc aggregates made up of smaller particles (2.5 µm). Chu et al. (2001) [11] showed that the sludge floc consists of primary particles (~2 µm), micro-flocs (~13 µm), and highly porous flocs (~100 µm).

(v) According to Chu et al. (2002) cited by Pilli [2], with regard to sludge type, the particles of flocculated sludge in AD reduced more than 50% in size after sonication compared to those of raw sludge. Similarly, within 20 min of sonication, the disintegration was more significant in secondary sludge (85%) than in primary sludge (71%) because the former contains mostly biomass (microbial cells) whereas the latter mainly consists of settle-able solids (containing fibers and less degradable cellulosic material) [6].

With regard to TS concentration of sludge, after sonication, the size reduced more in lower TS sample: $d_{50}$ of sludge with 2% TS decreased by 6.5 fold at 0.67 W/mL; higher TS concentrations (4% and 6%) require more US
density (0.83 W/mL and 1.03 W/mL, respectively) to gain the same level of particle size reduction [16].

In short, ultrasonic pretreatment significantly decreases the particle size of sludge, especially in the very short time of sonication. Sludge particle size reduction is sometimes used to assess the degree of sludge disintegration. Although this reduction accelerates the hydrolysis stage of sludge AD and enhances degradation of organic matters, the findings of Muller et al. (2004) cited by Dogan [17] indicated this parameter not to be convenient for process optimization.

2.2. Sludge mass reduction and mass composition

The sludge mass reduction is usually measured by the decrease in the suspended solid (SS) concentration. After sonication, the ratio of SS reduction was lower than that of VS reduction, which implied the inorganic matters (considered as the difference between total solids TS and volatile solids VS) were stable in US process (2410 mg/L after 30 min of sonication compared to the initial inorganic of 2560 mg/L). The sludge mass reduction was mainly from liquefaction of the organic matters. During US (0–30 min), SS reduction and VS reduction increase were almost linear with US duration, which indicated the continuous and stable sludge floc disintegration, mass reduction, and cell lysis: SS reduction (%) = 0.875 x US duration (min), R = 0.98 [18].

Others parameters used to assess the sludge reduction due to US, subsequent the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic disintegration, were the solubilisation of TS and VS:

$$S_{TS} = [(TS_0 - TS) / TS_0] \times 100\%$$
$$S_{VS} = [(VS_0 - VS) / VS_0] \times 100\%$$

[19]

where TS and VS were measured on the total sludge.

The solubilisation of TS (S_{TS}) increased linearly following an increase in ES (from 3600 to 108000 kJ/kgTS) and reached 14.65% at ES_{max}. Initially, the VS solubilisation (S_{VS}) increased fast in the ES range of 0-31500 kJ/kgTS (reached 15.8 %) and then slowed down at higher ES values (reached 23% at ES_{max}) [20]. The main purpose of sludge disintegration is to transfer organic matters from the solid to the aqueous phase. The increase in soluble organic could be correlated with the VS reduction (because both COD and VS represent the organic matters of sludge). A higher S_{VS} is important for eliminating/shortening the hydrolysis step of AD. Besides, the increased VS reduction directly converted to increased methane production during the AD and less stabilized biosolids to be disposed of. Therefore, S_{VS} was proportionally more important than S_{TS} in terms of sludge disintegration [20, 21].

With regard to sludge mass composition, the findings of X.Feng et al. [12] showed that both TS and VS remained nearly constant during US duration. The correlation coefficients relating TS and VS to ES ($R_{TS,ES} = -0.489$, $R_{VS,ES} = 0.729$) further indicated that TS and VS did not depend on ES. On the contrary, the amount of soluble matters in the supernatant was strongly affected by US. The TDS increased with an increase in ES: with ES of 500–26000 kJ/kg TS, the increase in TDS was 2.89–45.76% compared to untreated sludge. ($R_{TDS,ES} = 0.987$, $P < 0.01$). Therefore, mass composition is sometimes used to evaluate the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment.

2.3. Dewaterability of sludge

The aqueous phase in sludge is generally separated into two categories, free water and bound water. Whereas Kopp and Dichtl [22] and Vestlind and Martel (1989) cited by Yin et al. [23] suggested four categories: free water (not attached to sludge solids and can be separated by
simple gravitational setting), *interstitial water* (trapped within the floc structure or perhaps within a cell; can be released when flocs/cells are ruptured, and removed by mechanical dewatering-devices), *surface water/vicinal water* (held on the surface of solid particles by adsorption and adhesion, and cannot be removed by centrifugation or other mechanical devices), and *chemically bound water/water of hydration* (chemically bound to the particle and can be released only by thermo-chemical destruction of particles). While Colin and Gazbar [24] defined bound water to be *unfrozen water* at some given temperatures (usually -20°C) and cannot be wiped off by mechanical dewatering processes, Vesilind and Hsu [25] suggested that unfrozen water included some interstitial water, all vicinal water, and all water of hydration.

### 2.3.1. Positive effects of US on dewaterability of sludge

The capillary suction time (CST) and the specific resistance to filtration (SRF) tests are both commonly used to estimate sludge dewaterability.

The enhancement level of dewaterability depends on ES, US duration, and sludge volume [26]. The CST of sludge decreased at lower P\textsubscript{US} and US duration because the flocs did not reduce their sizes, but with an increase in US duration at the same P\textsubscript{US}, the CST value increased [9]. Na et al. [14] found that an increase in US doses (0-above 2000 kJ/L) led to a decrease in CST (from 53s to under 10s), which means ultrasonic treatment of WAS improved the dewaterability. However, this result was in conflict with Feng et al. [27] who found the CST increased rapidly with ES above 2200 kJ/kg\textsubscript{DS}. The authors [27] argued that at high ES, not only floc structure was disrupted, but floc size also decreased and the EPS concentration increased, leading to the decrease in sludge dewaterability.

According to Li et al. [28], sludge dewaterability will increase when the degree of sludge disintegration DD\textsubscript{COD} (see 3.1) is 2-5% because floc structure has a limited change at DD\textsubscript{COD} of less than 2%, the number of fine particles in bound water increases at DD\textsubscript{COD} of 6-7%, and sludge particle size significantly decreases at DD\textsubscript{COD} of more than 7%.

### 2.3.2. Negative effects of US on dewaterability of sludge

Sludge dewaterability decreased gradually with an increase in US duration because a greater increase in the amount of small particles resulted in a larger surface area for holding water [11]: the SRF and CST increased from $1.67 \times 10^{12}$ m/kg and 82s of raw sludge to $1.33 \times 10^{14}$ m/kg and 344 s of pretreated sludge, respectively after 5 min at 0.528 W/mL of sonication [29]. Besides, sludge dewaterability also gradually decreased with an increase in bound water of the sludge [23] due to an increase of US density [11]: according to Chen et al. 2001 cited by Pilli [2], bound water of raw sludge was 3.8 kg/kg\textsubscript{DS}, and then increased to 5.9 kg/kg\textsubscript{DS} and 11.7 kg/kg\textsubscript{DS} at US density of 0.11 W/mL and 0.33 W/mL, respectively. Sludge particles are disintegrated to smaller size with higher surface area causing adsorption of more water, occupying much more space in the water of sludge, preventing the transfer of water from the bottom to the top of sludge body during drying time, thus deteriorating the velocity of the release sludge water [27]. The findings of Wang et al. [29] and Dewil et al. (2006) cited by Pilli [2] indicated that ultrasonic disintegration of WAS could not improve the dewaterability, which was evidenced through the increase in both CST and SRF after US, particularly after increasing in US density and duration. However, these conclusions almost completely negate the positive effects shown above. This difference might due to the fact that
these studies were not carried out with sufficient tests on low energy dosages [27]. Sludge dewaterability deteriorated with an increase in ES due to cell lysis and release of biopolymers from extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) and bacteria into aqueous phase [30]; sludge dewaterability increased in the ES range of 0 - 2200 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub>, but it deteriorated if the ES exceeded 2200 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub>, especially beyond 4400 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> [27]. The release of EPS in the solution increased the viscosity of the sludge [29] and created a thin layer on the surface of the filtrating membrane acting as a barrier against the water, consequently reduced WAS dewaterability (Chen et al. 2001 cited by Pilli [2]). The correlation coefficient (R) of 0.9576 [27], 0.9233 [29], or R<sup>2</sup> of 0.9687 (Houghton et al. 2002 cited by Pilli [2]) for EPS and CST, and 0.8314 for EPS and SRF [27] have been reported. It was proved that both EPS and particle size had effects on sludge dewaterability but the former was considered more effective [27].

In addition, SRF and CST increased with the decrease in free water of the sludge, which means dewaterability had a positive correlation with free water. After US, there were two opposite effects. The first one was the transformation of interstitial water into free water because both water retained by EPS and water inside cells were released under US. The second one was an opposite trend by the adsorption effect because the decrease in size of flocs after disintegration provided more adsorbing surface on particles for water. However, the latter effect was more predominant in sludge dewatering properties, thereby sludge dewaterability deteriorated [29].

To sum up, US has both positive and negative effects on sludge dewaterability. Based on the dewaterability of pretreated sludge, the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment can be evaluated. In general, sludge dewaterability decreases with an increase in sludge disintegration.

2.4. Settleability of sludge

Settling velocity (SV) is one of the most important settling parameters of sludge in routine process control and plays an important role in controlling the excess sludge emission and sludge bulking [12]. Sludge settleability changed with an increase in ES (increased after the first hour but decreased thereafter), in which the optimum ES for improving WAS settleability was 1000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> [12]. The SV values of pretreated sludge at ES of 500 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> and 1000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> after 45 min were 51.62 mm/h and 57.44 mm/h, respectively, compared to 48.44 mm/h for the untreated sludge. At ES of more than 1000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub>, the SV of pretreated sludge was smaller than that of the untreated one, and gradually declined following a further increase in ES. WAS settleability was improved at ES of less than 1000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> because of the slight flocs disruption; on the contrary, the settleability deteriorated at ES of more than 5000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub> [12] due to the complete breakdown of flocs and increase in EPS concentration in the liquid phase. However, Chu et al. [11] indicated that ultrasonic treatment has no effect on sludge settleability which contradicts recent research results about the changes in particle size and floc structure [12, 14].

The settleability of sludge is inversely proportional to the degree of sludge disintegration under US. This parameter is rarely individually/independently used, but usually combined with other parameters to evaluate the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment.

2.5. Turbidity

The turbidity of sludge increased due to the increase in ES and particle size reduction during disintegration [13]. The supernatant turbidity of pretreated sludge decreased at ES of less than 5000 kJ/kg<sub>TS</sub>; particularly, the turbidity decreased...
by 27.69% and 43.52% at 500 and 1000 kJ/kgTS, respectively, compared to the control. However, it increased significantly at ES greater than 500 kJ/kgTS due to the release of micro-particles from sludge flocs into supernatant, which settle very slowly [12]. Therefore, the minimum ES required to disrupt sludge flocs and/or to release large amounts of organic matters was 1000 kJ/kgTS [9, 12].

Like the settleability of sludge, the turbidity of sludge is usually used together with other parameters to evaluate the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment.

2.6. Microscopic examination of sludge

The microscopic image of microbes before and after disintegration of sludge (cellular level of the sludge disintegrated by ultrasound [31] can be used to evaluate the degree of disintegration [11] rely on the images of floc clusters’ dispersion and loosening.

There are some different results from different authors. According to Dewil et al. (2006) cited by Pili [2], US pretreatment reduces average size of flocs and creates the bulk of separate cells and short filaments pieces (Actinomyces). In addition, the flocs and cell wall will be completely broken down with the increase in US duration [11, 31]; after 60 min of sonication [11]. However, Feng et al. [12] found that even at high level of ES (26000 kJ/kgTS), neither the floc structure nor the microbial cells were totally disintegrated (because there was still a network of filamentous bacteria in the photomicrographs of the treated sludge).

It can be stated that the ultrasonication has considerable effect on microbial disruption which leads to the changes of floc density, particle size, turbidity, settling velocity, and filterability, but still unclear about the efficiency of the disruption [2].

3. CHEMICAL CHANGE-BASED EVALUATION OF SLUDGE ULTRASONIC PRETREATMENT EFFICIENCY

Chemical evaluation mainly focuses on sludge disintegration efficiency [3] reflected by the degree of sludge disintegration (DDCOD) parameter. Besides, the ratio of soluble COD to total COD (SCOD/TCOD) is also used because it represents the release of organic matters from solid to liquid phase after US (TCOD has not been significantly affected by US). Apart from SCOD, nucleic acids, EPS, ammonium nitrogen, and nitrate nitrogen concentrations are also considered as the important parameters in chemical evaluation after sludge sonications.

The measurement of COD in solids requires a hydrolysis step because it cannot be done directly by the COD analysis. To eliminate this step, Total organic carbon (TOC) analysis is required, in which TOC in both liquid and solid phases are measured to identify the total conversion (oxidation) of organic matters [32].

3.1. Degree of disintegration (DDCOD)

There are some approaches to determine the degree of sludge disintegration (DDCOD) after US.

\[
DD_{COD} = \left[ (COD_f - COD_i)/(COD_{NaOH} - COD_{NaOHo}) \right] \times \left[COD_{NaOH}/COD_{homo}\right] \times 100 \%
\]

Kunz and Wagner 1994 cited by Schmitz et al. [95]

where - COD_f is the final COD of supernatant after US treatment (mg/L),
- COD_i is the initial COD of supernatant (untreated) (mg/L);
- COD_{NaOH} is the COD of supernatant at 22h after addition of 1M NaOH (mg/L),
- COD_{NaOHo} is the COD of supernatant just after addition of 1M NaOH (mg/L);
- COD_{homo} is the COD of original sample right after addition of 1M NaOH (mg/L);
- COD_{homo} is the COD of original sample after homogenization.
DD\text{COD} = \frac{(\text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} - \text{SCOD}_0)}{(\text{SCOD}_{\text{NaOH}} - \text{SCOD}_0) \times 100 (\%)}

Muller 1996 cited by Schmitz et al. [95]
where
- \text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} is supernatant COD of the sonicated sample (mg/L);
- \text{SCOD}_0 is supernatant COD of original sample (mg/L);
- \text{SCOD}_{\text{NaOH}} is the maximum COD release in the supernatant after NaOH digestion (sludge and 1 M NaOH, ratio of 1:2 for 10 min at 90\degree C).

\text{DD}_{\text{COD}} = \frac{(\text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} - \text{SCOD}_0)}{\text{TCOD} - \text{SCOD}_0) \times 100 (\%)} \quad [56]

where \text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} and \text{SCOD}_0 are soluble COD (mg/L) of the sonicated and the untreated sample, respectively.

\text{DD}_{\text{COD}} = \left[\frac{(\text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} - \text{SCOD}_0)}{\text{COD}_{\text{max}}} \right] \times 100 (\%) \quad [34]

where \text{SCOD}_{\text{US}} and \text{SCOD}_0 are soluble COD (mg/L) of the sonicated and the untreated sample, respectively; \text{COD}_{\text{max}} is COD of the reference sample after complete chemical solubilisation with H\text{2}SO\text{4}.

\text{DD}_{\text{COD}} and VS reduction were interchangeable to evaluate the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment due to their overlaps. As mentioned, the increase in organic matters in supernatant could be correlated with VS reduction because both COD and VS represented organic matters of sludge [18].

It was proved that, sludge disintegration depends on various factors, such as (a) US frequency, (b) US intensity, (c) US duration, (d) US density, (e) specific energy input, (f) TS content, (g) temperature, (h) sludge type/properties, etc., in which (c), (e), (f), and (g) have the most significant effects on sludge disintegration [35]. During US (0–30 min of sonication), \text{DD}_{\text{COD}} increase was almost linear with US duration, indicating the continuous and stable sludge floc disintegration: \text{DD}_{\text{COD}} (\%) = 1.2 \times \text{US duration (min)}; R = 0.95 \quad [18]. With the increase in the range of 0.1-1.5 W/mL (30 min of sonication), US density had a linear relation to \text{DD}_{\text{COD}}: \text{DD}_{\text{COD}} (\%) = 38.7 \times \text{US density (W/mL)}; R = 0.95 \quad [18].

3.2. Soluble COD assessment

Both cellular or extracellular matter and organic debris or EPS of sludge are disintegrated by US, leading to the solubilisation of solid matters and the increase in organic matters/EPS concentrations in aqueous phase; thereby SCOD of sludge increases (SCOD increase represents sludge disintigration [18]). That is the reason why the release of those components, especially SCOD can be used as a parameter to assess sludge disintegration efficiency [13, 30, 36, 37].

The COD solubilisation (SCOD) represents the transfer of COD from particulate fraction to soluble fraction of sludge, calculated by using the difference between soluble concentration (SCOD) and initial soluble concentration (SCOD$_0$) divided by the initial particulate concentration (PCOD$_0$):

\text{SCOD} = \left[\frac{(\text{SCOD} - \text{SCOD}_0)}{\text{PCOD}_0} \right] \times 100\%

where COD was measured in the total sludge (T) and in the soluble fraction (S) (using the micro-method HACH); COD of the particulate (P) was the difference between COD of T and S. The soluble fraction was evaluated after centrifugation (SORVALL T 6000 D) at 3600\times g for 20min and filtration through a 1.2 µm membrane.

However, to assess the effectiveness of US, \text{DD}_{\text{COD}} was proven to be a better parameter than COD solubilisation: That poor COD solubilisation (10%) corresponding to good \text{DD}_{\text{COD}} (47%) demonstrated COD solubilisation was not a relevant parameter of ultrasonic efficiency [20].

3.3. Nucleic acids assessment

Nucleic acids are biological molecules essential for life, and include deoxyribonucleic acid (DNA) and ribonucleic acid (RNA).
Together with proteins, nucleic acids make up the most important macromolecules. The increase in nucleic acids concentration represents cell lysis, thus they are also used to access the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment.

With the increase in the range of 0.1–1.5 W/mL (30 min of sonication), US density had a linear relation to cell lysis: Nucleic acids (mg/L) = 81 + 523 x US density (W/mL); R = 0.97 [18]. Besides, cell lysis was deduced by an increase in nucleic acids following US duration (0–30 min of sonication): Nucleic acids (mg/L) = 15 + 114 x US duration (min); R = 0.93 [18].

3.4. Protein assessment

Proteins are important building blocks of bacteria with many different functions in the living cell (catalyze chemical and biochemical reactions in living cell and outside). It was found about 70–80% of the extracellular organic carbon contained in WAS to be in form of proteins and saccharides [38].

There are three types of protein in wastewater and sludge: soluble, bound, and tightly bound. Bound proteins are considered readily bio-available for higher possibility of odor release. The total protein obviously declined with longer US duration while the reverse trend occurred in soluble protein. Because the cells were ruptured, the cellular and extracellular proteins – known as total protein – were disintegrated, some of which were transformed into bound proteins attached to the cell while most of which were dissolved into soluble proteins. It can be inferred that the rise of soluble protein concentration helped increase the AD [39].

Under US, the activated sludge was disintegrated; consequently EPS and cellular substances were released into the aqueous phase, resulting in an increase in protein and polysaccharide levels. Therefore, protein concentration is used to evaluate the efficacy of sludge US pretreatment [29, 30]. Besides, Ca2+ and Mg2+ play a key role in binding the EPS, the US disintegration of sludge causes the increase in Ca2+ and Mg2+ concentrations in the aqueous phase. The increase rate was high at first but then decreased because these anions were absorbed by smaller sludge particles formed during US [30].

The coefficients of determination (R²) for protein increase (Δproteins), DD COD1 and DD SCOD2 with reference to biogas yield (Δbiogas) were measured to evaluate the sludge disintegration: the combined coefficients of 0.97, 0.83, and 0.54 for Δproteins/Δbiogas, DD COD2/Δbiogas, and DD COD1/Δbiogas, respectively showed the advantage of protein-based assessment [16].

Owing to the increase in ES, proteins and polysaccharides (as well as DNA) in the supernatant initially increased with higher rate (0–20 min of US [30]): R protein-ES = 0.946, R polysaccharides-ES = 0.883 (P < 0.01) and an increment of 97% and 92% in proteins and polysaccharides concentrations, respectively was attained at 26,000 kJ/kg TS [12]; according to Feng et al. [27], the corresponding figures were 0.9972, 0.9854, 394%, 413%, and 35,000 kJ/kg TS, respectively. Then the increase in proteins slowed down after longer US duration while polysaccharide and DNA concentrations dropped after 20 min of sonication [30]. When sludge was almost disintegrated, the dissolution of protein, DNA became slow. Besides, at higher TS content, the cavitation was decreased leading to reduction of the released protein [30].

Among those components, the level of released protein was the highest in the aqueous phase of sonicated sludge. This predominance of proteins may be due to large quantities of exoenzymes in the floc: the ratio of protein to polysaccharide was ~ 5.4 [12].

However, the protein measurement is not common and not yet well accepted for evaluating sludge ultrasonic disintegration efficiency. Therefore, COD measurement is usually used for
this purpose due to its simplicity and easiness in daily operation [2].

3.5. NH3 assessment

Organic nitrogen and ammonia concentrations in sludge samples increased owing to the increase in ES and TS content of WAS [3, 12, 40]. The bacterial cells were disintegrated and the intracellular organic nitrogen was released in the aqueous phase, resulting in the increase in ammonia-N concentration [40]. It was important to state that the disintegration of organic nitrogen from non-biological debris was a key factor to produce ammonia [3]. Therefore, NH3 assessment can also be considered as another method to evaluate sludge disintegration efficiency under US.

While the total nitrogen concentration TN (soluble + particulate concentrations) remained unchanged in sludge, the total nitrogen solubilisation hiked up owing to the increase in ES: with an increase in ES above 3600 kJ/kgTS, it increased linearly and reached 19.6% at 108000 kJ/kgTS [20]. Total nitrogen solubilisation (SN) was calculated by using the difference between soluble concentration (TNs) and initial soluble concentration (TNs0) divided by the initial particulate concentration (TNp0):

\[ S_{TN} = \frac{(TNs - TNs_0)}{TNp_0} \times 100\% \quad [19] \]

where TN was measured in the total sludge (T) and in the soluble fraction (S) (using the micro-method HACH); TN of the particulate (P) was the difference between TN of T and S. The soluble fraction was evaluated after centrifugation (SORVALL T 6000 D) at 3600×g for 20min and filtration through a 1.2 µm membrane.

The correlation coefficient relating ammonium nitrogen (NH4+-N) to ES was 0.968 at the 0.01 significance level: NH4+-N concentration increased by 31.37% and more than 110% at ES of 500 and 11000 kJ/kgTS, respectively [12].

The nitrate nitrogen (NO3⁻-N) increased at ES of more than 5000 kJ/kgTS (R = 0.946, P < 0.01). Below 1000 kJ/kgTS, NO3⁻-N decreased by 16.45%. In similar conditions, the increase of NO3⁻-N was much smaller than NH4+-N because ammonium ions were produced more easily than nitrate ions when hydroxyl radicals were generated during cavitation [12].

Table 1: Correlation analysis of ES and physical–chemical characteristics of sludge [12]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Correlation</th>
<th>ES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbidity</td>
<td>0.965*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Particle size of dp90</td>
<td>-0.996*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TS</td>
<td>-0.489</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VS</td>
<td>0.729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDS</td>
<td>0.987*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCOD</td>
<td>0.993*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NH4⁺-N</td>
<td>0.968*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO3⁻-N</td>
<td>0.946*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Protein</td>
<td>0.946*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polysaccharide</td>
<td>0.883*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

In short, the release of ammonia and soluble organic nitrogen in the aqueous phase could be another useful indicator to assess the sludge disintegration efficacy under US. However, there was a requirement of correlating nitrogen release data and subsequent AD tests under different conditions for obtaining a standardized method to assess the sludge ultrasonic pretreatment efficiency based on NH3 data [2].

3.6. TOC assessment

It must be aware that TOC analysis shows all the organic carbon in the solution (solid and liquid) [32]. During US process with different PUS applied, the total TOC concentration stayed almost constant while the organics changed their status. At 200W, the organics were solubilised up to 11.2% and 22.8% of their total values in the solution for the industrial and municipal sludge,
respectively. The increase in TOC in liquid phase concurred with the results obtained from the COD analysis [32].

COD tests may provide the very high solubilisation percentage of organics (but not the total solubilisation of organics). To carry out these tests to measure TCOD of the solution, it needs applying a pre-digestion (hydrolysis) method which somehow may prevent the solubilisation of all solid particles. Besides, there are also some refractory organics not oxidized by the oxidizing agent used in COD tests. Therefore, TOC tests are more accurate due to those difficulties in COD analysis. Nevertheless, COD results may be used as an indicator for exploring the solubilisation process if TOC cannot be measured [32].

4. BIOLOGICAL CHANGE-BASED EVALUATION OF SLUDGE ULTRASONIC PRETREATMENT EFFICIENCY

Biological properties evaluation is usually based on heterotrophic count and specific oxygen uptake rate.

The breakdown of bacterial cell walls due to US can be evaluated by biological utilization tests. The sludge microbiological activity is characterized by Oxygen Utilization/Uptake Rate (OUR). In general, sludge microbial activity decreased when DD$_{COD}$ increased during ultrasonic sludge treatment. When DD$_{COD}$ was 0–20%, microbial activity was enhanced and OUR increased by about 20–40% indicating the predominant influence of floc structure change at this stage. OUR still increased but less than 20% when DD$_{COD}$ was 20–40%, which meant that some microorganisms were damaged. When DD$_{COD}$ was over 40%, most bacteria were disrupted at different degrees, and sludge microbial activity decreased significantly. In other words, cells started to lyse only when DD$_{COD}$ was over 40% [28]. The survival ratio (ratio of viable bacteria density levels after US to those of original sample) of the heterotrophic bacteria decreased owing to the increase in US duration [11]. Therefore, in some researches, OUR measurement can be used to evaluate the sludge disintegration efficiency with respect to US.

The change of sludge microbial activity (DD$_{SOUR}$) was measured by the ratio of SOUR change to the initial value:

$$DD_{SOUR} = \frac{(SOUR - SOUR_0)}{SOUR_0} \times 100\%$$ [28]

where SOUR and SOUR$_0$ are values of treated and untreated sludge samples, respectively.

An approximate relation between DD$_{SOUR}$ and DD$_{COD}$ was evaluated and expressed as followed equation, which can be used only when DD$_{COD}$ is over 1%:

$$DD_{SOUR} = -3.75 \times DD_{COD}^2 + 0.75 \times DD_{COD} + 0.21; R = 0.9330$$ [28].

However, there was a big difference between sludge OUR decrease (the sludge inactivation efficiency, 95.5%) and DD$_{COD}$ (30.1%), which indicated that some chemical reactions might have happened and inhibited cell metabolisms without disrupting the sludge structure [18]. Besides, microbes were inactivated well prior to their disintegration [40]: the percentage of microbial inactivation ranged from 53% to 69% (corresponding to different TS contents) after 60s of US and the OUR values changed insignificantly at longer duration. Therefore, OUR data should not be used to judge the degree of sludge disintegration [2].

DD$_{SOUR}$ is considered as the degree of inactivation and calculated as follows:

$$DD_{SOUR} (\%) = [1 - OUR/OUR_0] \times 100$$ [36]

where OUR and OUR$_0$ is the oxygen uptake rate of sonicated and original sample, respectively. In this case, DD$_{SOUR}$ was directly proportional to DD$_{COD}$. The DD$_{SOUR}$ increased quickly with the increase in ES up to 40kJ/gTS.
beyond this value will slow down the DD_{OUR} increase rate [36].

Low US densities (0.05 W/mL, 0.1 W/mL, and 0.2 W/mL) led to the initial increase in SOUR, stimulating microbial activity, and a slight increase in SCOD. This indicated that US with low density could only disrupt slightly the floc but the cell lysis did not occur (microorganisms were not destroyed). In other words, the microbial activity would go up when the micro-floc aggregates were separated from the sludge flocs [28].

Following the increase in ES, the OUR increased and reached the optimum; beyond this value of ES, the OUR decreased exponentially because of inactivation of microbes (Most bacteria were disrupted and sludge microbial activity decreased drastically) [11, 28].

Chu et al. [11] suggested the hypothesis as follows: There were multiple stages existing in the sonication of biological sludge. In the first stage (0–20 min), mechanical forces broke down the porous flocs into small particles and released extracellular polymers. In the second stage (20–60 min), the biomass was inactivated and organic matters were dissolved. In the final stage (>60 min), sonication had essentially no effect on the sludge if the bulk temperature has been controlled (floc would be completely destroyed after 60 min of sonication); if the bulk temperature of sludge was not controlled, the total coliform could be disinfected effectively as time exceeded 60 min [11].

Zhang et al. [18] showed that the sludge inactivation efficiency increased significantly after 10 min of sonication and the biomass inactivation stage was 10–30 min, which was different from Chu et al. [11] due to the different US density applied (0.3 W/ml in [11] vs. 0.5 W/ml in [18]). After 30 min of sonication, the sludge OUR decrease ratio was 95.5%, which indicated that biological cells were almost completely inactivated. Therefore, the hypothesis mentioned above was modified as follows: sludge disintegration and cell lysis occurred continuously during sonication but sludge inactivation occurred mainly in the second stage (10–30 min) [18]. Inactivation of sludge (biomass inactivation) depends on US duration. It occurred after 10 min of sonication [18] and after 20 min of sonication using low US density [11], which indicated that US density is also a parameter affecting on inactivation of sludge.

Besides, Li et al. [28] indicated two main stages of ultrasonic sludge pretreatment process: (i) sludge flocs were changed and disintegrated at first, and then (ii) the exposed cells were disrupted. In the first stage, some organic matters contained in the flocs were dissolved and SCOD increased slightly. At the same time, SOUR was increased due to the enhancement of oxygen and nutrients consumption. In the second stage, some cells were exposed and damaged by ultrasonic cavitation, leading to the release in intracellular organic matters, the further increase in SCOD, and the significant decrease in SOUR. Due to the heterogeneity of sludge and the differences in the external resistances of many types of zoogloea and bacteria, activation and inactivation took effects at the same time and the comprehensive effectiveness was under the influence of various ultrasonic parameters.

5. CONCLUSIONS

Ultrasonic irradiation is a feasible and promisingly applicable mechanical disruption technique for sludge disintegration. Because many processing factors significantly affect cavitation and consequently the efficiency of sludge pretreatment, assessment and selection of optimal ultrasonic conditions for actual application of sludge pretreatment are sorely necessary. An extensive review of evaluation approaches of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment
efficiency was presented with regard to changes in:

- **Physical properties**: particle size, sludge mass reduction and mass composition, dewaterability, settleability, turbidity, and microscopic examination.

- **Chemical properties**: increase in SCOD, nucleic acids, proteins, polysaccharides, nitrate nitrogen, release of NH$_3$, TOC…

- **Biological properties**: heterotrophic count and specific oxygen uptake rate.

Currently, experts in this field have not had common consent the methods of evaluating the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment. Different authors have expressed the effect of US on sludge disintegration in different reference parameters. There is still no comprehensive method to evaluate the efficiency of sludge ultrasonic pretreatment. However, some main parameters which have been commonly used for this purpose are DD$_{\text{COD}}$, proteins, specific oxygen uptake rate, particle size reduction… due to their simplicity, easiness, and predominant accuracy in daily operation.

---

Các cách tiếp cận để đánh giá hiệu quả tiền xử lý bùn thải bằng công nghệ siêu âm

- Lê Ngọc Tuấn
  Trường Đại học Khoa học Tự nhiên, ĐHQG-HCM
- Phạm Ngọc Châu
  Đại học Bangkok – Thái Lan

**TÓM TẮT:**

Siêu âm được xem là công nghệ cơ học khả thi và tiểmn năng cho việc phân rã bùn thải, thúc đẩy phân hủy sinh học và tăng cường tiêu hóa khí. Các nghiên cứu cho thấy có rất nhiều yếu tố ảnh hưởng đáng kể đến cavitation và theo đó là hiệu quả tiền xử lý bùn thải. Vì vậy, đánh giá, so sánh và lựa chọn các điều kiện siêu âm tối ưu - hướng đến ứng dụng thực tế cho việc tiền xử lý bùn thải - là vô cùng cần thiết. Bài báo trình bày tổng quan các cách tiếp cận để đánh giá hiệu quả tiền xử lý bùn thải bằng công nghệ siêu âm dựa trên tính chất vật lý, hóa học và sinh học của bùn sau xử lý.

**Từ khóa:** Đánh giá các biến đổi sinh học, Đánh giá các biến đổi hóa học, Đánh giá các biến đổi vật lý, tiền xử lý bằng siêu âm, bùn thải thứ cấp.
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