Open Access Full Text Article

Alkaloids and phenolic compounds from the trunks of Coffea canephora and their α -glucosidase inhibition

Vo Thi Nga¹, Hoang Thi Hien¹, Nguyen Vu Nhat Ha¹, Le Thi Minh Phuong¹, Nguyen Thi Thu², Nguyen Thi Anh Tuyet^{3,*}



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and download this article

¹Department of Chemical Technology, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, Ho Chi Minh City

²Binh Loi Trung Secondary School, Ho Chi Minh City

³Department of Chemistry, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, Ho Chi Minh City

Correspondence

Nguyen Thi Anh Tuyet, Department of Chemistry, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, Ho Chi Minh City

Email: tuyetnta@hcmue.edu.vn

History

- Received: 2022-05-25
- Accepted: 2022-11-14
- Published: 2022-12-31

DOI: 10.32508/stdj.v25i3.3945



Copyright

© VNUHCM Press. This is an openaccess article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



ABSTRACT

Introduction: Coffea canephora, known as Robusta coffee, is one of five coffee species grown in Vietnam. Continuous reporting of the chemical and bioactivity investigation of the Coffea canephora trunk, the isolation and spectroscopic analysis of alkaloids and phenolic glycosides, and their α -glucosidase inhibition were addressed.

Method: The crude methanol extract was prepared from dried *Coffea canephora* trunk powder using the maceration method. The *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extracts were separated from the crude extract by sequence soaking in *n*-hexane and then in ethyl acetate. From these extracts, five organic compounds and a mixture were isolated using various chromatographic method, and their structures were elucidated by NMR spectroscopic and MS techniques. α -Glucosidase inhibition assays were carried out by Apostolidis's method.

Results: Five compounds consisting of caffeine (1), uridine (2), 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol (3), kelampayoside A (4), polystachyol (5), and a mixture of (\pm) -lyoniresinol 3 *a* -O- β -D-glucopyranoside (6) were isolated from the *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extracts and structurally elucidated. α glucosidase inhibition assays demonstrated that compounds 2, 4 and 5 showed better activity than acarbose.

Conclusion: To the best of our knowledge, all isolated compounds except compound **1** were investigated from the *Coffea* genus for the first time. Compounds **2**, **4** and **5** showed better activity than acarbose in the α -glucosidase inhibitory assay.

Key words: Coffea canephora, alkaloid, phenolic, glycoside, α -glucosidase, inhibition

INTRODUCTION

Coffea canephora Pierre ex A. Froehner, known as Robusta coffee, is one of five coffee species grown popularly in Vietnam¹ and contributes significantly to the Vietnamese economy. Coffee beans and leaves have been investigated for chemistry and bioactivity aspects. Oestreich-Janzen's study reported the presence of lipids, terpenoids, steroids, caffeic acid, chlorogenic acids, trigonelline, caffeine, and alkaloids in Coffea canephora.² Metabolites isolated from coffee exhibit a reduced risk of Alzheimer's³ and Parkinson's diseases⁴ and anti-inflammatory⁵ and antioxidant activities⁶. In particular, the benefits of regular coffee consumption on the reduced risk of type 2 diabetes have been reported⁷. Previously, we reported the isolation, structural analysis and α -glucosidase inhibitory activity of triterpenoids and diterpenoids from the trunk of Coffea canephora.^{8,9} In this article, two alkaloids and four phenolic glycosides were isolated from Coffea canephora trunk, and their α -glucosidase inhibitory ability was evaluated.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General experimental procedures

The NMR spectra were recorded on Bruker Avance at 500 MHz for ¹H-NMR and 125 MHz for ¹³C-NMR, using residual solvent signal as internal reference (chloroform- $d\delta_H$ 7.260, δ_C 77.16, methanol $d_4\delta_H$ 3.310, δ_C 77.16). The (HR)-ESI-MS was measured on a Bruker MicrOTOF-QII. The absorbance of *p*-nitrophenol at 405 nm for α -glucosidase inhibitory evaluation was obtained from a Biotex reader ELX800. Thin layer chromatography was carried out on precoated Kieselgel 60 F₂₅₄ or silica gel 60 RP-18 F_{254S} (Merck), and spots were visualized by spraying with 20% H₂SO₄ solution, followed by heating. For column chromatography (CC), silica gel (Kieselgel 60, 0.040-0.063 mm, Merck), RP-18 (Merck), and Sephadex LH-20 (GE Healthcare) were used.

Plant material

Plant material, *Coffea canephora* Pierre ex A. Froehner was authenticated by Dr. Dang Van Son from the Institute of Tropical Biology, Vietnam

Cite this article : Nga V T, Hien H T, Ha N V N, Phuong L T M, Thu N T, Tuyet N T A. **Alkaloids and phenolic compounds from the trunks of** *Co ea canephora* and their α -glucosidase inhibition. *Sci. Tech. Dev. J.*; 2022, 25(3):2521-2528.

Academy Science and Technology, Vietnam. A voucher specimen was deposited as UTE–A001 in the herbarium of Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, Vietnam. The *Coffea canephora* trunk for this research was collected in August 2018 in Lam Dong Province, Vietnam.

Extraction and isolation

The preparation of *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extracts from Coffea canephora dried powdered trunk (30 kg) was described in our previous report.⁸ The n-hexane extract (H, 300 g) was chromatographed on a silica gel column using a gradient of an nhexane:EtOAc mixture from 85:15 to 5:5 to afford seven fractions (H1-H7). Fraction H5 (3.55 g) was subjected to silica gel column chromatography (CC) three times, eluted with n-hexane:EtOAc (7:3), CHCl₃:MeOH (99:1) and *n*-hexane:acetone (8:2), purified further by Sephadex LH-20 CC, and eluted with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:4) to yield 3 (23 mg). The EtOAc extract (180 g) was subjected to silica gel CC eluted with n-hexane:EtOAc with increasing polarity from 50% to 100%, and then EtOAc:MeOH (9:1 to 8:2) was used to obtain 15 fractions (EA1-EA15). Fraction EA9 (20 g) was subjected to silica gel CC three times and eluted with EtOAc:MeOH (1:0, 95:5), EtOAc and CHCl3:MeOH (98:2) to yield 1 (10 mg). Fraction EA10 (6.16 g) was fractionated using a gradient from 100% CHCl3 to 100% MeOH to afford five fractions (EA10.1-EA10.5). Fraction EA10.3 (0.276 g) was subjected to Sephadex LH-20 CC eluted with CHCl3:MeOH (1:4) and then subjected to RPsilica gel CC using a mixture of MeOH:H₂O (4:6) as the eluent to yield 5 (6.1 mg). Fraction EA13 (8.31 g) was fractionated by Sephadex LH-20 CC eluted with CHCl3:MeOH (1:4) to give 12 fractions (EA13.1-EA13.12). Fraction EA13.11 (0.693 g) was subjected to silica gel CC using an isocratic eluant of EtOAc:MeOH:H2O (6:1:1) to furnish subfractions (EA13.11.1-EA13.11.5). Subfraction EA13.11.4 (259.7 mg) was subjected to RP-18 reversed-phase CC (MeOH:H₂O, 3:7) and then normal-phase silica gel CC (CHCl3:MeOH:H2O 20:6:1) and was purified further by Sephadex LH-20 CC to obtain compound 6 (5.4 mg). The same procedure was applied to subfraction EA13.11.5 (22.4 mg) to yield 4 (4.6 mg). Fraction EA14 (8.19 g) was fractionated by silica gel CC using CHCl3:MeOH gradient elution (1:0 to 0:1), subjected to a Sephadex LH-20 column eluting with CHCl₃:MeOH (1:4), and further separated by silica gel CC eluted with CHCl3:MeOH:H2O (20:6:1) to yield 2 (4.2 mg).

α - Glucosidase assay

The α -glucosidase inhibitory activity assay was applied to compounds **1**, **2**, **4**, **5**, and **6** (triplicated) and carried out as described previously by Apostolidis¹⁰ with some modifications described in our previous report⁸.

Dimethyl sulfoxide was used to dissolve the samples at different concentrations before being added to a 96well plate. Each well contained 20 µL of the sample solution, 60 μ L of the phosphate buffer solution (0.1 M, pH 6.8), 100 µL of the 200 µM p-nitrophenyl-D-glucopyranoside, and 20 μ L of α -glucosidase (0.3 IU/mL). The reaction was conducted at 37°C for 30 minutes. The reaction was ended by adding 50 μ L of 50 mM NaOH to each well. Acarbose was used to replace the sample solution for the positive control. Using a BioTek microplate reader, the absorbance of the samples was measured at 405 nm. Based on equation (1), the percentage of α -glucosidase inhibition, I (%), was calculated. The calibration curve equation of I (%) according to sample concentration was used to calculate the IC50 (the half-maximal inhibitory concentration). The differences in the mean values were analyzed using the Duncan test (p<0.05).

$$\%Inhibition = \frac{A_{control} - A_{sample}}{A_{control}} \times 100$$
(1)

where A_{control} and A_{sample} are the absorbances of the control and sample, respectively.

RESULTS

From the *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extracts prepared from the trunk of *Coffea canephora* grown in Lam Dong Province, Vietnam, six compounds, including **1** (10 mg), **2** (4.2 mg), **3** (23 mg), **4** (4.6 mg), **5** (6.1 mg), and **6** (5.4 mg), were isolated by chromatographic methods. The spectroscopic data of compounds **1**-**4** are displayed as follow, while the data of compounds **5** and **6** are shown in Table 2. The results of the α glucosidase inhibitory assay applied to several isolated compounds are presented in Table 1.

Caffeine (1): White amorphous powder. ESI-MS: m/z 194.8 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. for C₈H₁₀N₄O₂+H, 195.1). ¹H-NMR data (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) (δ_H , *J* in Hertz): 7.51 (1H, *s*, H-8), 4.00 (3H, *s*, 7-CH₃), 3.59 (3H, *s*, 3-CH₃), 3.41 (3H, *s*, 1-CH₃). ¹³C NMR data (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ_C 155.4 (C-6), 151.7 (C-2), 148.7 (C-4), 141.4 (C-8), 107.6 (C-5), 33.6 (7-CH₃), 29.7 (3-CH₃), 27.9 (1-CH₃). Selected HMBC correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

Uridine (2): Yellowish amorphous powder. ESI-MS: m/z 244.7 [M+H]⁺ (calcd. for C₉H₁₂N₂O₆+H, 245.1). ¹H-NMR data (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) (δ_H , *J* in Hertz): 7.99 (1H, *d*, 8.0, H-6), 5.89 (1H, *d*, 4.5, H-1'), 5.69 (1H, *d*, 8.0, H-5), 4.17 (1H, *dd*, 10.0, 5.5, H-2'), 4.15 (1H, *dd*, 10.0, 5.0, H-3'), 4.00 (1H, *m*, H-4'), 3.84 (1H, *dd*, 12.0, 2.5, H-5'a), 3.73 (1H, *dd*, 12.0, 3.0, H-5'). ¹³C NMR data (CD₃OD, 125 MHz): δ_C 166.2 (C-4), 152.5 (C-2), 142.7 (C-6), 102.7 (C-5), 90.8 (C-1'), 86.4 (C-4'), 75.7 (C-2'), 71.3 (C-3'), 62.3 (C-5'). Selected HMBC and COSY correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

3,4,5-Trimethoxyphenol (3): Yellowish amorphous powder. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 207.0630 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₉H₁₂O₄+Na, 207.0633). ¹H-NMR data (CDCl₃, 500 MHz) (δ_H , *J* in Hertz): 6.08 (2H, *s*, H-2/6), 3.78 (3H, *s*, 4-OCH₃), 3.77 (6H, *s*, 3/5-OCH₃). ¹³C-NMR data (CDCl₃, 125 MHz): δ_C 153.9 (C-3/5), 152.7 (C-1), 131.9 (C-4), 93.2 (C-2/6), 61.2 (4-OCH₃), 56.1 (3/5-OCH₃). Selected HMBC correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

Kelampayoside A (4): White amorphous powder, HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 501.1563 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₂₀H₃₀O₁₃Na, 501.1579). ¹H NMR data (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) (δ_H , J in Hertz): 6.46 (2H, s, H-2/6), 4.97 (1H, d, 2.5, H-1"), 4.79 (1H, overlapped, H-1'), 4.04 (1H, dd, 11.0, 2.0, H-6'a), 3.95 (1H, d, 10.0, H-4"a), 3.88 (1H, d, 2.5, H-2"), 3.82 (6H, s, H-3/5), 3.74 (1H, d, 9.5, H-4"b), 3.71 (3H, s, 4-OCH₃), 3.61 (1H, m, H-6'b), 3.56 (1H, m, H-5'), 3.55 (2H, s, H-5"), 3.44 (1H, m, H-3'), 3.42 (1H, m, H-2'), 3.32 (1H, H-4' ¹³C NMR data (CD₃OD, 125 MHz): δ_C 155.9 (C-1), 154.8 (C-3/5), 134.8 (C-4), 110.9 (C-1"), 103.2 (C-1'), 96.5 (C-2/6), 80.5 (C-3"), 78.0 (C-2"), 77.9 (C-3'), 77.0 (C-5'), 75.0 (C-2'), 74.9 (C-4"), 71.6 (C-4'), 68.7 (C-6'), 65.5 (C-5"), 61.3 (4-OCH₃), 56.8 (3/5-OCH₃). Selected HMBC and COSY correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

Polystachyol (5): Yellowish gum. HR-ESI-MS: m/z 443.1671 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₂₂H₂₈O₈Na, 443.1676). ¹H-NMR data (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR data (CD₃OD, 125 MHz) are presented in Table 2. Selected HMBC and COSY correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

(±)-Lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -D-glucopyranoside (6): Yellowish gum. HR-ESI-MS: *m/z* 605.2216 [M+Na]⁺ (calcd. for C₂₈H₃₈O₁₃Na, 605.2205). ¹H-NMR data (CD₃OD, 500 MHz) and ¹³C-NMR data (CD₃OD, 125 MHz) are presented in Table 2. Selected HMBC and COSY correlations are depicted in Figure 1.

DISCUSSION

Compound 1 isolated from fraction EA9 was a white amorphous powder. The ¹H-NMR spectrum of compound 1 exhibited a singlet signal at δ_H 7.51 (1H, s, H-8) for a characteristic aromatic proton on a fully

 Table 1: α Glucosidase inhibition of some isolated compounds

Compounds	IC ₅₀ (μM)
1	393.60 ± 0.10
2	202.40 ± 0.04
4	182.87 ± 0.02
5	139.51 ± 0.02
6	N/A
Acarbose	209.80 ± 0.01

Note: N/A: nonactive

substituted aromatic system. Three singlet signals at δ_H 3.41 (3H, s, 1-CH₃), 3.59 (3H, s, 3-CH₃), and 4.00 (3H, s, 7-CH₃) revealed the presence of three methyl groups attached to heteroatoms. Its ¹³C-NMR displayed 8 carbons, including two carbonyl carbons resonated at δ_C 155.4 (C-6) and 151.7 (C-2), three olefinic carbons at δ_C 107.6 (C-5), 148.7 (C-4), and 141.4 (C-8), and three methyl groups at δ_C 27.9 (1-CH₃), 29.7 (3-CH₃), and 33.6 (7-CH₃). The skeleton of compound 1 and the positions of the three methyl groups were determined by the HMBC correlations displayed in Figure 1. Its ESI-MS showed the molecular ion peak $[M+H]^+$ at m/z 194.8 (calcd. for C₈H₁₀N₄O₂+H, 195.1) corresponding to the molecular weight of caffeine, an abundant compound in coffee beans. The NMR data of compound 1 were compatible with those in Sitkowski's report¹¹. Therefore, compound 1 was identified as caffeine.

Compound 2 was isolated as a yellowish amorphous powder from fraction EA14. Downfield, the ¹H-NMR spectrum of 2 displayed two olefinic methine signals coupling each other at δ_H 7.99 (d, 8.0 Hz, H-6) and δ_H 5.69 (*d*, 8.0 Hz, H-5). Four oxymethine signals resonated at δ_H 5.89 (d, 4.5 Hz, H-1'), 4.17 (dd, 10.0, 5.5 Hz, H-2'), 4.15 (dd, 10.0, 5.0 Hz, H-3') and 4.00 (m, H-4') along with two oxymethylene signals at δ_H 3.84 (*dd*, 12.0, 2.5 Hz, H-5'a) and 3.73 (dd, 12.0, 3.0 Hz, H-5'b) were features of a pentose. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of compound 2 showed nine carbons, including two carbonyl groups at δ_C 166.2 (C-4) and 152.5 (C-2), two olefinic methines at δ_C 142.7 (C-6) and 102.7 (C-5), four oxymethines at δ_C 90.8 (C-1'), 86.4 (C-4'), 75.7 (C-2') and 71.3 (C-3'), and an oxymethylene at δ_C 62.3 (C-5'). The signals at δ_H 5.89 (d, 4.5 Hz, H-1') and δ_C 90.8 (C-1'), which were characteristic of an anomer position, indicated the presence of a sugar unit. This fact was supported by the COSY correlations of H-1'-H-2'-H-3'-H-4'-H-5'. The HMBC cross-peaks displayed in Figure 1

Position	Compound 5 (CI	D ₃ OD)	6a stereoisorme	er (CD ₃ OD)	6b stereoisorm	er (CD3OD)
	δ_H , mult. (J, Hz)	δC	δ_H , mult. (J, Hz)	δC	δH, mult. (J, Hz)	δC
1	2.70 dd (15.0, 4.5) 2.57 dd (15.0, 11.5)	33.6	2.72 dd (15.0, 4.5) 2.61 dd (15.0, 11.5)	33.8	2.67 m	33.8
2	1.63 m	40.9	1.70 m	40.7	1.70 m	41.3
2α	3.59 dd (11.0, 5.0) 3.48 dd (10.5, 7.0)	66.8	3.63 m 3.54 dd (11.0, 6.5)	66.3	3.63 m 3.54 dd (11.0, 6.5)	66.3
3	1.97 m	49.5	2.10 m	46.7	2.14 m	46.6
3α	3.50 d (5.5)	64.2	3.88 m 3.46 dd (10.0, 4.0)	71.6	3.88 m 3.60 m	72.1
4	4.31 d (5.5)	42.3	4.42 d (6.0)	42.8	4.23 d (6.5)	43.2
5	-	147.7	-	147.6	-	147.6
6	-	138.9	-	138.9	-	138.9
7	-	148.7	-	148.7	-	148.7
8	6.59 s	107.8	6.58 s	108.0	6.58 s	107.9
9	-	130.2	-	130.3	-	130.3
10	-	126.3	-	126.4	-	126.3
1'	-	139.3	-	139.3	-	139.4
2'/6'	6.38 s	107.0	6.43 s	107.1	6.41 s	107.3
3'/5'	-	149.0	-	149.0	-	149.0
4'	-	134.6	-	134.6	-	134.7
5-OCH3	3.38	60.2	3.35 s	60.2	3.33 s	60.2
7-OCH3	3.86 s	56.6	3.86 s	56.7	3.86 s	56.7
3'/5'- OCH ₃	3.74 s	56.8	3.75 s	56.9	3.75 s	57.0
1"	-	-	4.29 d (8.0)	104.8	4.14 d (8.0)	104.3
2"	-	-	3.22 m	75.2	3.22 m	75.1
3"	-	-	3.37 m	78.3	3.31 m	78.2
4"	-	-	3.31 m	71.7	3.31 m	71.7
5"	-	-	3.25 m	78.0	3.16 m	78.0
6″	-	-	3.65 dd (12.0, 5.5) 3.83 m	62.9	3.69 dd (12.0, 5.5) 3.83 m	62.8

 Table 2: NMR data of compound 5 and mixture 6



allowed us to determine a ribose unit for compound 2. Two olefinic methines belonging to the same ring with two carbonyl groups were demonstrated using COSY correlations between δ_H 7.99 (H-6) and 5.69 (H-5) and HMBC correlations from H-6 (δ_H 7.99) to C-2 (δ_C 152.5) and C-4 (δ_C 166.2) and from H-5 (δ_H 5.69) to C-4 (δ_C 166.2). In addition, based on the HMBC cross-peaks from H-1' (δ_H 5.89) to C-6 (δ_C 142.7) and C-2 (δ_C 152.5), the ribose was determined to attach the aglycon at C-1'. The ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data of compound 2 were compatible with those of uridine¹². The ESI-MS displayed the pseudomolecular ion peak at m/z 244.7 [M+H]⁺, which was appropriate for uridine (calcd. for C₉H₁₂N₂O₆+H, 245.1). The above information supported the identification of compound 2 as uridine.

Compound 3 was isolated from fraction H5 as a yellowish amorphous powder. Its HR-ESI-MS showed a sodiated molecular peak at m/z 207.0630 [M+Na]⁺ appropriate for a calculated molecular weight of C₉H₁₂O₄Na of 207.0633. Therefore, the molecular formula of compound 3 was assigned to C₉H₁₂O₄. Its ¹H-NMR spectrum exhibited a singlet at δ_H 6.08 (s, H-2/6) for aromatic protons. In addition, two singlets resonated at δ_H 3.78 (s, 4-OCH₃) and 3.77 (s, 3/5-OCH₃), suggesting that the aromatic ring bears three methoxy groups. The ¹³C-NMR spectrum of 3 displayed seven signals, including 2 aromatic methines at δ_C 93.2 (C-2/6), 4 oxygenated aromatic carbons at δ_C 153.9 (C-3/5), 152.7 (C-1), 131.9 (C-4), and 3 methoxy groups at δ_C 61.2 (4-OCH₃), 56.1 (3/5-OCH₃). The locations of methoxy and hydroxyl groups were determined by HMBC correlations, which are displayed in Figure 1. The 1D-NMR data of compound **3** had good compatibility with 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol¹³. Therefore, the structure of compound **3** was elucidated as 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol.

Compound 4 was isolated as a white amorphous powder from fraction EA13. Its molecular formula was identified as C₂₀H₃₀O₁₃ using HR-ESI-MS with a quasi-molecular ion peak $[M+Na]^+$ at m/z 501.1563 (calc. for C₂₀H₃₀O₁₃+Na, 501.1579). The ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra of compound 4 were similar to those of compound 3 in the presence of signals indicating a tetrasubstituted aromatic ring, except for the addition of two sugar moieties. The presence of two sugar units was demonstrated by two anomer protons at δ_H 4.79 (H-1') and 4.97 (*d*, 2.5 Hz, H-1") and oxygenated protons in the zone of 3.0-4.0 ppm. The signal at δ_H 4.79 (H-1') overlapping with the residual water signal was assigned using the HSQC spectrum. Additionally, a quaternary carbon at δ_C 134.8 (C-4) was explored by the HMBC spectrum. The analysis of the ¹³C-NMR spectrum aligned with the ¹H-NMR and HSQC spectra along with the COSY spectrum allowed the assignment of six oxygenated carbons at δ_C 103.2 (C-1'), 75.0 (C-2'), 77.9 (C-3'), 71.6 (C-4'), 77.0 (C-5'), 68.7 (C-6') belonging to a hexose moiety and five remaining oxygenated carbons at δ_C 110.9 (C-1"),78.0 (C-2"), 80.5 (C-3"), 74.9 (C-4") and δ_C 65.5 (C-5") belonging to a pentose unit. The attachment of two sugar units to the aromatic ring was assigned by the HMBC correlations (Figure 1). From the above analysis, compound 4 was predicted to be a phenolic diglycoside. Based on a previous report¹⁴, the NMR data of compound 4 showed good compatibility with 3,4,5-trimethoxyphenyl 1-O- β -D-apiofuranosyl(1 \rightarrow 6)-glucopyranoside or kelampayoside A and was elucidated as this structure. Compound 5 was isolated as a yellowish gum from fraction EA10. The HR-ESI-MS spectrum exhibited a sodiated molecular ion peak $[M+Na]^+$ at m/z443.1671 (calcd. for C22H28O8+Na, 443.1676) to identify the molecular formula of compound 5 as C₂₂H₂₈O₈ with 9 degrees of unsaturation. Its ¹H-NMR displayed two singlet signals at δ_H 6.59 (s, H-8) and δ_H 6.38 (s, H-2'/6'), indicating the presence of a 1,2,3,4,5-pentasubstituted ring and a 1,3,4,5tetrasubstituted ring. In addition, three singlet signals at δ_H 3.38 (s, 5–OCH₃), 3.74 (s, 3'/5'–OCH₃) and 3.86 (s, 7-OCH₃) were assigned to four methoxy groups. The signals at δ_H 3.50 (*d*, 5.5 Hz, H-3 α), 3.59 (dd, 11.0, 5.0 Hz; H-2\alphaa) and 3.48 (dd, 10.5, 7.0 Hz; H-2 α b) demonstrated the presence of two oxymethylene . The signals at δ_H 2.70 (*dd*, 15.0, 4.5 Hz, H-1a), 2.57 (dd, 15.0, 11.5 Hz, H-1b), 1.63 (m, H-2), 4.31 (d, 5.5 Hz, H-4) and 1.97 (m, H-3) were characteristic of an aliphatic fragment. The ¹³C-NMR along with ¹H-NMR and HSQC indicated that compound 5 had 22 carbons, including two oxymethylenes at δ_C 64.2 (C-3 α) and 66.8 (C-2 α), four methoxy groups at δ_C 60.2 (5–OCH₃), 56.6 (7–OCH₃), and δ_C 56.8 (3'/5'– OCH₃), a methylene at δ_C 33.6 (C-1), three methine at δ_C 49.5 (C-3), 42.3 (C-4), and 40.9 (C-2), and 12 aromatic carbons. The COSY spectrum supported the identification of two associated proton spin systems of H-1-H-2-H-2 α and H-4-H-3-H-3 α connecting each other at C-2–C-3, which are depicted in Figure 1. From the above information, compound 5 was predicted to have two benzene rings connected via a side chain with the feature of a tetrahydronaphthalene lignan or aryl-tetralin-type lignan. The HMBC crosspeaks from the aromatic protons and the methoxy protons to the aromatic carbons supported the identification of two aromatic ring systems as 4-hydroxy-3,5-dimethoxyphenyl moieties. The attachment of two aromatic rings to the side chain was established by HMBC correlations, which are displayed in Figure 1. The HMBC correlations from H-1 and H-2 to C-9, from H-3 to C-10, and from H2'/6' to C-4 indicated the connection between the two rings to the side chain. The combination of the above NMR analysis and comparison of NMR data with a previous report¹⁵ demonstrated the structure of compound 5 as polystachyol.

Mixture **6** was isolated from fraction EA13. The molecular formula of **6** was established as $C_{28}H_{38}O_{13}$ using HR-ESI-MS with a sodiated molecular ion peak $[M+Na]^+$ at m/z 605.2216 (calcd. as 605.2205).

The ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR spectra of **6** were similar to those of compound **5** except for an additional set of glucopyranosyl signals in the zone δ_H 3.0-4.0 ppm according to δ_C 62-105 ppm, along with anomeric protons at δ_H 4.29 (*d*, 8.0 Hz, H-1", **6a**) and 4.14 (*d*, 8.0 Hz; H-1", **6**) and two acetal carbons at δ_C 104.8 and 104.3. Using the HMBC experiment, the location of the glucopyranosyl moiety was determined at C-3 α (Figure 1). Based on a previous publication, **6** had good compatibility with (+)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -D-glucopyranoside and (-)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -D-glucopyranoside. ¹⁶ Therefore, **6** was identified as a mixture of (±)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -D-glucopyranoside.

Five compounds, **1**, **2**, **4**, **5**, and **6**, were evaluated for their α -glucosidase inhibitory capacity. The activities of compounds **2** (IC₅₀ = 202.40 μ M), **4** (182.87 μ M), and **5** (139.51 μ M) were better than that of the positive control, acarbose (209.80 μ M), while compound **1** was weaker than acarbose and mixture **6** was inactive for α -glucosidase inhibition.

Caffeine (1) is the main alkaloid in coffee. According to Herawati's research, caffeine is not a key bioactive component for antioxidant, anti- α -glucosidase, and antiglycation activities.¹⁷ Phenolic acids, specifically 5-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, 4-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, and 3-*O*-caffeoylquinic acid, are major contributors to antioxidant activity as well as prospective inhibitors against α -glucosidase. The paper by Duangjai also mentioned caffeine's ineffective glucosidase inhibition but highly potent amylase inhibitory activity.¹⁸ The IC₅₀ value of compound 1 in our investigation was higher than that of acarbose. It makes sense that caffeine has a limited anti- α -glucosidase activity.

In the Phoopha report, uridine (2) had no effect on α -glucosidase inhibition.¹⁹ The IC₅₀ value of compound 2 was the lowest among those of the isolated compounds in our study.

Kelampayoside A (4) has been evaluated at 1.0×10^{-5} M and found to be inactive for human tumor cytotoxicity, anti-inflammatory activity, antioxidant activity, anti-HIV activity, and neuroprotective activity, but it has inhibitory activity against protein tyrosine phosphatase 1B.²⁰ To the best of our knowledge, there has not yet been any report on an α -glucosidase inhibitory assay applied to kelampayoside A (4). Our investigation found that compound 4 (IC₅₀ = 182.87 μ M) inhibited α -glucosidase better than acarbose (IC₅₀ = 209.80 μ M).

Thao reported that the sucrase inhibitory experiment revealed a considerable α -glucosidase inhibitory activity for polystachyol (5).²¹ In our investigation utilizing a colorimetric reaction, compound 5 (IC₅₀=

139.51 μ M) also exhibited α -glucosidase inhibitory activity better than acarbose (IC₅₀= 209.80 μ M). Research from Jong-Anurakkun revealed that (-)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -D-glucopyranoside exhibited inhibitory activity against both sucrase and maltase with IC₅₀ values of 1.95 and 1.43 mM, respectively, while its enantiomer was inactive.²² In our investigation, a mixture of (+)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -Dglucopyranoside and (-)-lyoniresinol 3α -O- β -Dglucopyranoside (**6**) was isolated and was unable to separate. It was shown to be inactive against α glucosidase inhibition.

CONCLUSION

From the *n*-hexane and ethyl acetate extract of *Coffea canephora* trunk, five compounds and a mixture were isolated, including two alkaloid (caffeine and uridine), a phenolic compound (3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol) and three phenolic glycosides (kelampayoside A, polystachyol, and (\pm) -lyoniresinol 3α -*O*- β -D-glucopyranoside). Based on NMR and MS data analysis as well as comparison to published values, their chemical structures were elucidated. To the best of our knowledge, all the isolated compounds were investigated from the genus *Coffea* for the first time with the exception of **1**. Compounds **2**, **4** and **5** were discovered to be potent α -glucosidase inhibitors that are stronger than acarbose, a popular commercial medicine.

ABBREVIATIONS

¹³C NMR: Carbon-13 Nuclear Magnetic Resonancecalcd. : calculated

CC: Chromatographic column

COSY: Homonuclear COrrelation Spectroscopy *d*: doublet *dd*: doublet of doublets *m*: multiplet *s*: singlet

HMBC: Heteronuclear Multiple Bond CorrelationHSQC: Heteronuclear single quantum coherenceHR-ESI-MS:HighResolution-ElectroSprayIonization-Mass Spectrometry

¹H NMR: Proton Nuclear Magnetic Resonance IC₅₀: The half maximal inhibitory concentration RP: reversed-phase

COMPETING INTEREST

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

AUTHORS' CONTRIBUTION

Vo Thi Nga and Nguyen Thi Anh Tuyet contributed to designing the experiments, acquiring the data, interpreting the data and giving final approval of the manuscript to be submitted. Hoang Thi Hien, Nguyen Vu Nhat Ha, Le Thi Minh Phuong, Nguyen Thi Thucarried out the experiments, interpreted NMR and MS data and searched the bibliography.

Corresponding author: Dr. Nguyen Thi Anh Tuyet, Department of Chemistry, Ho Chi Minh City University of Education, Ho Chi Minh City. Email: tuyetnta@hcmue.edu.vn

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thanks are extended to Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology and Education, who supported the facilities for this research.

REFERENCES

- Pham HH. Cây cở Việt Nam: An illustrated flora of Vietnam. Vol.
 Youth Publication, Ho Chi Minh City; 2000. 175-176 p;.
- Oestreich-Janzen SH. Chemistry of Coffee. In: Reference Module in Chemistry, Molecular Sciences and Chemical Engineering [Internet]. Elsevier; 2019 [cited 2021 Jan 16]. p. B9780124095472028000;Available from: https://linkinghub. elsevier.com/retrieve/pii/B9780124095472027864.
- Quintana JLB, Allam MF, Del Castillo AS, Navajas RFC. Alzheimer's disease and coffee: a quantitative review. Neurological Research. 2007 Jan;29(1):91-5;PMID: 17427282. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1179/174313206X152546.
- Hu G, Bidel S, Jousilahti P, Antikainen R, Tuomilehto J. Coffee and tea consumption and the risk of Parkinson's disease. Mov Disord. 2007 Nov 15;22(15):2242-8;PMID: 17712848. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21706.
- Pergolizzi S, D'Angelo V, Aragona M, Dugo P, Cacciola F, Capillo G, et al. Evaluation of antioxidant and antiinflammatory activity of green coffee beans methanolic extract in rat skin. Natural Product Research. 2020 Jun 2;34(11):1535-41;PMID: 30507264. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1080/14786419.2018.1523161.
- Natella F, Nardini M, Giannetti I, Dattilo C, Scaccini C. Coffee Drinking Influences Plasma Antioxidant Capacity in Humans. J Agric Food Chem. 2002 Oct;50(21):6211-6;PMID: 12358504. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1021/jf025768c.
- Herawati D, Giriwono PE, Dewi FNA, Kashiwagi T, Andarwulan N. Three major compounds showing significant antioxidative, α-glucosidase inhibition, and antiglycation activities in Robusta coffee brew. International Journal of Food Properties. 2019 Jan 1;22(1):994-1010;Available from: https://doi.org/10. 1080/10942912.2019.1622562.
- Hoang MH, Nguyen TAT, Pham NKT, Dang VS, Vo TN. A new oleanane-skeleton triterpene isolated from Coffea canephora. Natural Product Research. 2021 May 7;1-7;Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419.2021.2000412.
- Nguyen TAT, Hoang MH, Luc TT, Dang TKN, Nguyen TMT, Vo TN. Two new ent -kaurane-type diterpene diastereomers isolated from Coffea canephora. Natural Product Research. 2021 Nov 5;1-8;Available from: https://doi.org/10.1080/14786419. 2021.2000412.
- Apostolidis E, Kwon YI, Shetty K. Inhibitory potential of herb, fruit, and fungal-enriched cheese against key enzymes linked to type 2 diabetes and hypertension. Innovative Food Science & Emerging Technologies. 2007 Mar;8(1):46-54;Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2006.06.001.
- Sitkowski J, Stefaniak L, Nicol L, Martin ML, Martin GJ, Webb GA. Complete assignments of the 1H, 13C and 15N NMR spectra of caffeine. Spectrochimica Acta Part A: Molecular and Biomolecular Spectroscopy. 1995 May;51(5):839-41;Available from: https://doi.org/10.1016/0584-8539(94)00192-E.

- Ritu R, Hui SS, Rak KH, Ah JH, Sue CJ. Isolation and identification of bioactive compounds from the tuber of Brassica oleracea var. gongylodes. Natural Product Sciences. 2020;26(3):214-20;.
- Parmar VS, Gupta S, Bisht KS, Mukherjee S, Boll PM, Errington W, et al. Synthesis and X-Ray structure of 2-(3-methyl-2-butenyl)-3,4,5-trimethoxyphenol: a potent anti-invasive agent against solid tumours. Acta Chem Scand. 1996;50:558-60;PMID: 8924337. Available from: https://doi.org/10.3891/ acta.chem.scand.50-0558.
- Kanchanapoom T, Kasai R, Yamasaki K. Iridoid and phenolic glycosides from Morinda coreia. Phytochemistry. 2002 Mar;59(5):551-6;PMID: 11853751. Available from: https://doi. org/10.1016/S0031-9422(01)00426-5.
- Sadhu SK, Phattanawasin P, Choudhuri MSK, Ohtsuki T, Ishibashi M. A new lignan from Aphanamixis polystachya. J Nat Med. 2006 Jul;60(3):258-60;PMID: 29435891. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11418-006-0047-1.
- Hoang Thi Yen, Hoang Le Tuan Anh, Duong Thi Hai Yen, Pham Hai Yen, Duong Thi Dung, Do Thi Ha, et al. Phenolic glycosides from Trichosanthes baviensis. Journal of Medicinal Materials. 2014;19(5):283-7 (Vietnamese);.
- Herawati D, Giriwono PE, Dewi FNA, Kashiwagi T, Andarwulan N. Three major compounds showing significant antioxidative, α-glucosidase inhibition, and antiglycation activities in Robusta coffee brew. International Journal of Food Properties.

2019 Jan 1;22(1):994-1010;Available from: https://doi.org/10. 1080/10942912.2019.1622562.

- Duangjai A, Pontip P, Sumhem S, Kaweekul W, Utsintong M, Ontawong A, et al. Phenolic acids from Coffea arabica L. suppress intestinal uptake of glucose and cholesterol. Biomedical Research. 2020;31(3):59-66;.
- Phoopha S, Wattanapiromsakul C, Pitakbut T, Dej-adisai S. Chemical constituents of Litsea elliptica and their alphaglucosidase inhibition with molecular docking. Phcog Mag. 2020;16(70):327;Available from: https://doi.org/10.4103/pm. pm_18_20.
- Yanling Z. Chemical constituents of stems and branches of Adina polycephala. CJCMM [Internet]. 2010 May 15 [cited 2022 Oct 30];35(10);PMID: 20707194. Available from: https://doi. org/10.4268/cjcmm20101010.
- Thao NP, Luyen BTT, Vinh LB, Lee JY, Kwon YI, Kim YH. Rat intestinal sucrase inhibited by minor constituents from the leaves and twigs of Archidendron clypearia (Jack.) Nielsen. Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters. 2016 Sep;26(17):4272-6;PMID: 27481560. Available from: https:// doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl.2016.07.044.
- Jong-Anurakkun N, Bhandari MR, Kawabata J. α-Glucosidase inhibitors from Devil tree (Alstonia scholaris). Food Chemistry. 2007 Jan;103(4):1319-23;Available from: https://doi.org/ 10.1016/j.foodchem.2006.10.043.