

Measurement of cosmic muon angular distribution and deposited energy using sFGD prototype in the T2K upgrade ND280

Dung Thi Nguyen^{1,*}, Le Tuan Anh², Nguyen Mau Chung¹, Nguyen Anh Duc¹, Bui Thi Hoa¹, Bui Minh Hue³



Use your smartphone to scan this QR code and download this article

ABSTRACT

The superFGD (sFGD), a scintillator detector, has been chosen as the target for neutrinos in the T2K near detector upgrade. Its design enables nearly 4π coverage for neutrino interactions, leading to lower energy thresholds and reduced systematic errors in the experiment. The sFGD is composed of optically-isolated scintillators measuring $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^3$, ensuring the necessary spatial and energy resolution to minimize uncertainties in future T2K runs. With close to two million cubes assembled into a volume of $1920 \times 560 \times 1840 \text{ mm}^3$, the sFGD represents a significant advancement. A prototype consisting of $4 \times 4 \times 3$ cubes was studied using cosmic rays. The sFGD prototype, comprised of 48 cubes, was instrumented. The experiment was conducted in Tokai ($36^\circ 27' 42.8'' \text{N}$ $140^\circ 35' 57.7'' \text{E}$). Additionally, we present the results of the GEANT4 simulation of this prototype, where a cosmic ray muon is simulated by the CRY generator. The angular and energy deposition distributions are presented in this paper.

Key words: sFGD, SiPM array, cosmic ray

1 INTRODUCTION

¹Faculty of Physics, VNU University of Science, 334 Nguyen Trai – Thanh Xuan – Ha Noi

²Institute for Nuclear Science and Technology, Viet Nam Atomic Energy Institute, 179 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Viet Nam

³Institute of Physics, Vietnam Academy of Science and Technology, 18 Hoang Quoc Viet, Cau Giay, Hanoi, Vietnam

Correspondence

Dung Thi Nguyen, Faculty of Physics, VNU University of Science, 334 Nguyen Trai – Thanh Xuan – Ha Noi

Email: nguyenthidung.hus@vnu.edu.vn

History

- Received: 2024-03-23
- Accepted: 2024-07-09
- Published Online:

DOI :



Copyright

© VNUHCM Press. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license.



T2K (Tokai to Kamioka) is a neutrino experiment conducted in Japan (see Figure 1). The T2K upgrade project was launched in 2017 aiming to investigate CP violation, a phenomenon that breaches the combined conservation laws associated with charge conjugation (C) and parity (P) by the weak force, with a confidence level (CL) of 3σ . A novel concept for a scintillator detector with 3D fine granularity has been introduced as part of this upgrade. This innovative design use $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^3$ cubes, read out along three orthogonal directions by wavelength-shifting fibers (WLS fibers). This detector serves a new massive target for neutrino interactions and is named the Super Fine-Grained Detector (sFGD)¹.

The commissioning of the FGD prototype was motivated by the necessity to study the readout electronics, resembling those of the final detector and developing the reconstruction method, along with the analysis methods to identify cosmic rays.

Muons represent the majority of charged particles in secondary cosmic muon at the ground level. The average energy of cosmic muon reaching the Earth's surface is around 4 GeV at an approximate rate of $1.1 \text{ particle cm}^{-2} \text{ min}^{-1}$ ². Cosmic ray muon angle distribution and deposited energy have been extensively studied at sea level³.

The prototype setup was described in Section 2, while Section 3 elaborates on Monte Carlo (MC) simula-

tion utilizing the GEANT4 toolkit and CRY generator. The analysis and reconstruction of data are outlined in Section 4.

PROTOTYPE DESIGN AND SETUP

The prototype consists of 48 cubes of plastic scintillators, each measuring $4 \text{ cm} \times 4 \text{ cm} \times 3 \text{ cm}$. These plastic scintillator are composed of polystyrene ((C8H8)n doped with 1.5% of para-terphenyl (PTP) and 0.01% of 1,4-bis benzene (POPOP). Each cube has dimensions of $1 \times 1 \times 1 \text{ cm}^3$, with a reflective layer applied to each surface using a chemical agent, resulting in the formation of a $50 - 80 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ -thick white polystyrene micropore deposit^{1,4}. These cubes are assembled in the construction of FGD in the T2K upgrade. We are utilizing the Y-11(200) MS WLS fiber, manufactured by Kuraray Co, which is the same fiber used in ND280's current FGDs. It is a multi-clad, round-shaped fiber of S-type (increased flexibility) with a diameter of 1.0 mm. The scintillation light emitted by the cubes is collected by WLS fibers along two orthogonal directions, X and Y (as illustrated in Figure 2), and read out by an MPPC (Multi-pixel Photon Counter) array model S13361-3050AE-04 to detect the position of cosmic rays. The prototype was placed in a black box, as seen in Figure 2 and Figure 3. The prototype area is 16 cm^2 , thus the flux of cosmic ray around $I = 16 \text{ particles/detector.min}^{-1}$. The initial measurement was conducted without a scintillator prototype, to measure the background (dark noise

Cite this article : Nguyen D T, Anh L T, Chung N M, Duc N A, Hoa B T, Hue B M. Measurement of cosmic muon angular distribution and deposited energy using sFGD prototype in the T2K upgrade ND280. *Sci. Tech. Dev. J.* 2024; 27():1-7.




Figure 1: The layout of the T2K experiment.




Figure 2: The block diagram.




Figure 3: The prototype design setup and detector setup.

Table 1: The information of data recording.

	configA	configB	configC	configD
Parameter	$d_{WLS-MPPC} = 3 \text{ mm}$		$d_{WLS-MPPC} = 1 \text{ mm}$	
Time recording	2h		1h	
DAC	680	650	680	650
Operation Voltage	55.48 V	55.66 V	55.47 V	55.68V
Event numbers	2k	2k	5k	5k

rate). Subsequently, the calibration was performed using a LED with a frequency of 1 kHz, pulse widths of 60 ns and 70 ns. Each measurement was conducted for a duration sufficient to gather a substantial number of counts, aiming to minimize statistical error. Four data sets were recorded with different configurations as listed in Table 1. The experimental process is illustrated in flow chart in Figure 3. The raw experimental data were analyzed and are present in Section 4.

SIMULATION

The left arm of Figure 4 depicts the simulation process, which was implemented using the Geant4⁵⁻⁷ simulation toolkit in conjunction with CRY⁸. Geant4, initially developed at CERN, is dedicated to simulating of cosmic muons interacting with the sFGD prototype. Meanwhile, CRY generator (the Cosmic-Ray Shower Library)⁸ is an open-source software library developed by the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory. It is utilized to generate correlated cosmic-ray particle showers for various purposes, including transport or detector simulation code. We utilized CRY to generate muon shower information from cosmic rays at sea level. In this developed code, CRY was coupled to Geant4 to generate the initial primary cosmic rays at the beginning of the simulation process.




Figure 4: The simulation and experimental processes.

The simulation software of the sFGD prototype used in our work is written and arranged into four major modules. Each part has a distinct task as follow :

- sFGDproGeo: This module is responsible for constructing simulation geometry within the

Table 2: The particle percentage in the cosmic ray simulated by CRY.

Particle	Contribution (%)
anti_proton	0.000567216
e+	3.46
e-	5.55
gamma	52.3
mu+	19.86
mu-	18.30
neutron	0.097
pi+	0.0035
pi-	0.0028
proton	0.4384

Geant4 framework. In this paper, the geometry consists of several scintillator cubes relevant to the real prototypes, as displayed in Figure 3.

- sFGDproPhysics: This module includes all physics processes that delineate the interaction of cosmic rays with the matter contained within a scintillator cube.
- Output: All interested quantities like energy deposit, interaction location, and angular distribution will be recorded and exported as output.
- Cry-based Primaries: This module is responsible for generating the primary events of cosmic rays based on the output of CryTop of FormBottom of Form

The simulation showed that muon, being the main contribution in the cosmic ray, accounted for 38,15% of the events (Table 2). The simulation results for the energy and angle distributions of the muon showed are shown in Figure 5 a&b. The ratio of the muon distribution going through the sFGD prototype to the initial cosmic muon distribution indicates the acceptance of sFGD prototype. Figure 5c illustrates the acceptance of cosmic muon passing through the sFGD prototype as a function of angle. The simulation of cosmic muon in the sFGD prototype helped to correct the real data.

EXPERIMENT AND ANALYSIS METHOD

The calibration

The calibration results were obtained using the fourth LED condition, as show bellow. The raw signal was acquired by illuminating the MPPC array with the




Figure 5: The the angular distribution of mu- (a), energy distribution of mu- (b), and the acceptance of the cosmic muon passing through the sFGD prototype using the developed software.




Figure 6: Signal recorded by MPPC array with LED source (a), and calibration curve (b).

123 LED source (Figure 6a). The raw ADC value was plotted in blue, while the red line presents the multi-Gauss function fitted to the experimental data. The first peak on the left corresponds to the pedestal of the measurement system. The subsequent peaks correspond to 1 pe, 2 pe, 3 pe, and so on. The location of the maximum value of each peak was determined from the fitted curves. Consequently, a value of approximately 41 ADC was found for 1 pe in the fourth case of LED condition (Figure 6b).

133 **The analysis strategy**

134 Next, we utilize the prototype to measure the cosmic rays. Figure 7 illustrates the signal of cosmic rays after pedestal subtraction. As shown in Figure 3, each cube contains two WLS fibers along orthogonal directions X, and Y. When a cosmic ray traverses through a cube, the scintillator convert the deposited energy into visible light rays, which are then, partially collected by each WLS fiber. Based on this, we apply the following

steps to identify cosmic rays:

- Pedestal subtraction
- On each layer, calculate the summation (SUM_{XY}) of all ADC values obtained for both X and Y directions.
- An event will be considered a cosmic ray if its SUM_{XY} is greater than a given threshold T.

To determine the value of threshold T, we examine the signal of all channels on the layer that contains 16 cubes without WLS fiber. These signals, thus, come from the noise signal. Figure 8 displays the SUM_{XY} for this case using a configuration of DAC 680, HV 5547, 1mm. It can be seen that most of the values are below 6000. Therefore, we chose $T=5800$ as a threshold to identify cosmic rays. Figure 9 presents the SUM_{XY} spectra for signals coming from cosmic rays.

After identifying the cosmic rays, further calculations were performed to estimate the angular distribution




Figure 7: Raw data of cosmic rays measured with DAC 650, HV5566, 3 mm.




Figure 8: SUMXY of 16 channels without WLS fibers (left) and SUMXY on each layer (right), obtained with configC.

161 and the energy of cosmic rays bombarding the proto- 173
 162 type, as shown in the next Section. In particular, the 174
 163 following steps were used: 175

- 164 • Only events that go through 3 layers are consid- 176
 165 ered. 177
- 166 • The Centre of Gravity coordinates (x_{cog} , y_{cog} , 178
 167 z_{cog}) are calculated on each layer to determine 179
 168 the impact position of the cosmic ray. 180
- 169 • In the same event, if the distance between two 181
 170 hit positions is greater than $\sqrt{l^2 + l^2 + l^2}$, then 182
 171 the two hit positions do not belong to the same 182
 172 track.

- After determining the interaction location in 173
 each layer, the track length L_t of a cosmic ray 174
 traveling through the prototype is calculated. 175
- The incident angle of a cosmic ray is calculated 176
 as the angle between L_t and the Zenith axis. 177
- The final angular distribution is obtained after 178
 correcting for the acceptance of the prototype, 179
 which is determined by the Geant4 simulation. 180
- The deposited energy is determined as $E = \frac{dE}{dx} * 181$
 L_t , where $\frac{dE}{dx} \cong 2 \text{ MeV/cm}^2$. 182




Figure 9: SUMXY spectra obtained by the prototype for different configurations: (a) configA; (b) configB; (c) configC; (d) configD.

183 **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

184 **The angular distribution**

185 Figure 10 presents the comparison between experi-
 186 mental data and the simulation results for the angu-
 187 lar distribution of cosmic rays at Earth’s surface. Four
 188 measured angular distributions (blue lines) were ob-
 189 tained with different configurations, as listed in Ta-
 190 ble 1. We utilized the Geant4-based code integrated
 191 with CRY (see Figure 4) to determine the acceptance
 192 of the prototype and the simulated angular distribu-
 193 tions (red lines). There is a difference between experi-
 194 mental data and the simulation curves. The discrep-
 195 ancy may arise from the relatively poor statistics at
 196 data points, and the simulation results didn’t account
 197 for the effect of WLS and electronics. The results of
 198 only configurations C exhibited the expected value.
 199 To confirm this, we need to collect more data and de-
 200 crease the distance between WLS and MPPC.

201 **The deposited energy**

202 The deposited energy was determined using the
 203 method described in Section 4 as shown in the left fig-
 204 ure of Figure 10, while the raw ADC distribution we
 205 obtained are displayed in the right figure. Figure 11
 206 shows the initial energy deposited by cosmic rays in
 207 the prototype. For all considered configurations, a

mean value of deposited energy is determined to be 208
 approximately 6.1 MeV 209

CONCLUSION 210

This paper reports the findings concerning the angu- 211
 lar distribution and energy deposition of cosmic 212
 rays observed in the prototype of the new detector de- 213
 signed for the T2K experiment upgrade. While this 214
 issue is not novel, experimental investigations are cru- 215
 cial for comprehending the detector’s characteristics. 216
 Moreover, reconstructing the characterize of cosmic 217
 ray enables the development of methods for recon- 218
 structing muon particles in the upgraded sFGD de- 219
 tector for the T2K experiment. 220

ABBREVIATIONS 221



ACKNOWLEDGMENTS 222

AUTHOR’S CONTRIBUTIONS 223

FUNDING 224

AVAILABILITY OF DATA AND MATERIALS 225

Data and materials used and/or analyzed during the 227
 current study are available from the corresponding 228
 author on reasonable request. 229



230 **ETHICS APPROVAL AND CONSENT**
231 **TO PARTICIPATE**

232 Not applicable.

233 **CONSENT FOR PUBLICATION**

234 Not applicable.

235 **COMPETING INTERESTS**

236 The authors declare that they have no competing in-
237 terests.

238 **REFERENCES**

239 1. Abe K. The T2K-ND280 upgrade. arXiv. 2019;Available from:
240 <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1901.03750>.
241 2. Zyla PA, Particle Data Group. Prog Theor Exp Phys (PTEP).
242 2020;Available from: <http://pdg.lbl.gov>.
243 3. Pethuraj S. Measurement of Cosmic Muon angular distribution
244 and vertical integrated flux by 2m×2m RPC stack at IICHEP-
245 Madurai. J Cosmol Astropart Phys. 2017;2017:021;Available
246 from: <https://doi.org/10.1088/1475-7516/2017/09/021>.
247 4. Blondel A. The SuperFGD Prototype Charged Particle Beam
248 Tests. JINST. 2020;15 ;Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1088/>

1748-0221/15/12/P12003. 249
250 5. Agostinelli S, Allison J, Amako K, et al. Geant4 a simulation
251 toolkit. Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res A. 2003;506:250;Avail-
252 able from: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002\(03\)01368-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-9002(03)01368-8).
253 6. Allison J, Amako K, Apostolakis J, Araujo H, Dubois PA, et al.
254 Geant4 developments and applications. IEEE Trans Nucl Sci.
255 2006;53:270;Available from: [https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.](https://doi.org/10.1109/TNS.2006.869826)
256 869826.
257 7. Allison J, Amako K, Apostolakis J, et al. Recent developments in
258 Geant4. Nucl Instrum Methods Phys Res A. 2016;835:186;Avail-
259 able from: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nima.2016.06.125>.
260 8. Haggmann C. 2012;Available from: [https://nuclear.lnl.gov/](https://nuclear.lnl.gov/simulation/doc_cry_v1.7/cry.pdf)
261 [simulation/doc_cry_v1.7/cry.pdf](https://nuclear.lnl.gov/simulation/doc_cry_v1.7/cry.pdf).